

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,342	10/28/2003	Ruldolf J. Hofmeister	15436.253.66.1	5604	
7590 08/25/2005			EXAMINER		
R. BURNS ISI WORKMAN N		NGUYEN, DUNG T			
1000 Eagle Gate Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
60 East South T		2828			
Salt Lake City,	UT 84111		DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)(
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/695,342		HOFMEISTER ET A	L.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dung (Michael) T. N		2828					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX, cause the application to be	may a reply be time m of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONES	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	une 2005.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5) [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (per No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 Not		te atent Application (PTO-1	152)				

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 06/22/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 7, paragraph 7, applicant argues « all apparent that Figure 3 discloses, or even suggests, that a 'distinct set of digital temperature compensation values determined through testing of the optoelectronic device', or any other data for that matter, are stored in the 'General Purpose EEPROM 120," as the Examiner has asserted. The argument is not persuasive.

Applicant's attention is directed to Aronson paragraph 0004, 0006, 0009, 0020, 0027, 0028, and 0033. These paragraphs clearly show that the memory including elements 120, 122, 128 (para.0028, 1.11-20) has stored a distinct set of digital temperature compensation values through testing of the optoelectronic device.

On page 8, paragraph 2 and on page 9, paragraph 2, applicant argues « Figure 3 of Aronson appears to indicate that "D/A Temperature Lookup Tables 122" is an element that is separate from the "General Purpose EEPROM 120." In fact, Figure 3 of Aronson does not even illustrate a connection between the "D/A Temperature Lookup Tables 122" and the "General Purpose EEPROM 120." The examiner does not concur because para 0028 and Fig.3 clearly disclose that there is a connection between the D/A Temperature Lookup Tables 122 and the General Purpose EEPROM 120 through the 2 wire serial interface 121.

On page 8, paragraph 4, applicant argues « Aronson Fig.2-3 fail to teach a memory device configured to store control parameters for at least one operating requirement

Art Unit: 2828

corresponding to a range of operating temperatures ". The applicant's attention is directed to para.0006, 0020, and 0027-0028 which disclose the limitation as claimed.

On page 9, paragraph 3, applicant argues « while figure 3 of Aronson illustrates Logic 134, the Examiner has not identified with any particularity which specific portions of Figures 2 and 3 that the Examiner believes to disclose control logic that is configured "to access the control parameters in the memory device to control the at least one operating requirement when the optoelectronic device is operating at a temperature within the range of operating temperatures". The applicant's attention is directed to para.0029 which discloses that the logic 134 is able to access (1.7-12) the parameters in the memory 120, 122, 128.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Aronson et al. (US2002/0149821).

With respect to claim 14, Aronson show in Fig.2-3 an optoelectronic device 100 comprising: an optical subassembly 103; a driver circuit 105 coupled to the optical subassembly; a memory 120, including one or more memory arrays for storing information used to control operation of the driver circuit, wherein the memory has stored therein a distinct set of digital temperature compensation values 122 determined through testing of the optoelectronic device, an interface 121 for reading from and writing to locations within the memory in accordance with commands from a host device, a temperature sensor 125; and a control logic 134 configured to determine a control value for the driver circuit in accordance with one or more digital temperature compensation values stored in the memory and the digital temperature value.

With respect to claim 19, Aronson show in Fig.2-3 an optoelectronic device 100 comprising: an optical subassembly 103; a controller integrated circuitry 102 in communication with the optical subassembly, the controller integrated circuitry comprising: a memory device 120 configured to store control parameters for at least one operating requirement corresponding to a range of operating temperatures 122, and a control logic 134 configured to access the control

Art Unit: 2828

parameters in the memory device to control the at least one operating requirement when the optoelectronic device is operating at a temperature within the range of operating temperatures.

With respect to claims 15-16 and 20-21, Aronson show in Fig.2 the TOSA 103 and the ROSA 102.

With respect to claim17, Aronson show in Fig.3 an analog to digital conversion circuitry 127 for receiving an analog signal from the temperature sensor 125, converting the received analog signal into a digital temperature value.

With respect to claim18, Aronson show in Fig.2-3 the digital to analog circuitry 123 configured to convert the control value to a control signal to control the driver circuit 105.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Art Unit: 2828

Michael Dung Nguyen

Page 6

MINSUN CHAPTER